SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	ERSE OF THE FORM,)		3 optomour 17, 1, 15	o require		iso of me office.		, mr pour u	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
RICHARD DIAZ				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Nu	ımber and Email Add	ress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE						
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888				LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	F PR	INCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Or on of This State	only) PTF	DE F □ 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi		for Defenda PTF 4	ant) DEF 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	□ 2	j 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		5	□ 5
				n or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUI										
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Other Personal Injury □ 341 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	PERSONAL INJUR: 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER: 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETTEON 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other Son Median Son Carlonal 550 Civil Rights	Y	RFEITURE/PENAL O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR O Tair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relation Labor/Mgmt. Relation O Railway Labor Act O Railway Labor Act O Railway Labor Act O The Labor Litigatio I Empl. Ref. Inc. Security Act FMMIGRATION Auturalization Applic Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee O Other Immigration Actions	e 8881	### 422 App ### 423 Witt ### 28 U ### 820 Cop; ### 330 Pate; ### 840 Trad ### 861 HIA ### 863 DIW ### 864 SSII ### 870 Taxe ### 0 Taxe ### 971 IRS-	eal 28 USC 158 ndrawal ISC 157 REFERIGHTS yrights nt lemark SECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	400 State F 410 Antitru 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Depon 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consu. 490 Cable 810 Selecti 850 Securi Excha 875 Custon 12 USC 890 Other 891 Agricu 892 Econom 893 Envira 894 Energy 895 Freed 895 Freed 4ct 900Appeal	Reapportion ust and Bankin herce tation teer Influen of Organizat mer Credit Stat TV ive Service ties/Comminge ner Challer C 3410 Statutory A ultural Acts mic Stabili- oom of Inform of Fee Det Equal Acco ice tutionality of	ment mg med and tions odities/ mge actions zation Act Matters n Act mation termination
№ 1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened ar	nother d specify)		☐ 6 Multidistu Litigation	inci 📋 /	Appeal to Judge fro Magistrat Judgment	m te
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you ar ION 1692	re tiling (Do not cite jurisdic	cuonal s	tatutes u	miess diversity):			Œ
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief describtion of ca	use: ction Practices Ac	ct							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			l Di	MAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No			nt:			
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCIZI	ጋጥ እነነ በአብነንድነ			
Explanation:						DOUNE *	ET NUMBER _			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	F ATTO	NEY OF RECORI	,D					
06/18/2012				//						

Case 2:12-cv-03429-CMR Document 1 Filed 06/18/12 Page 2 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 1477 VIIQ JUNO Dr. NOrt	11. JUNO BEACH FL 3340
Address of Defendant: 507 Prodential Pa.,	Horsham PA 1904
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	<i>,</i>
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No. Z
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	/
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	ear previously terminated action in this court?
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior saction in this court?	
	Yes□ No□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
terminated action in this court?	Yes No 🗹
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ts case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No □
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
 □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ FELA 	
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please
V. – Bucot Management Hamilton	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. \$1692	
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION
I, Chala: Thor Kimmel, counsel of record do hereby certifications.	ategory) fy:
☐ Pursuantud Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 001812	57100
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# are has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	V. 1 V
DATE: 000 18/12	51100
Attorney-at-I sky	Attorney I D #

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

RICHARD DIAZ,		:	CIVIL ACTION		
v.		: :			
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTE	MS, INC.,	: :	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the o designation, that defendant s	ase Management Trace a copy on all defe event that a defend hall, with its first ap a Case Managemen	ack Designdants. (Stant does opearance, at Track D	y Reduction Plan of this court, cournation Form in all civil cases at the See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the not agree with the plaintiff regardicular submit to the clerk of court and serve esignation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ing said e on the	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CAS	SE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:		
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases r and Human Services den	. •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	()	
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	ired to be designate	d for arbit	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for perso	nal injury	or property damage from	()	
the court. (See reverse si	complex and that n	eed specia	al or intense management by		
management cases.)				()	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fa	all into an	y one of the other tracks.	()	
06/18/2012_ Date	Craig Thor Kimm Attorney-at-law	<u>el</u>	<u>Plaintiff, Richard Diaz</u> Attorney for		
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	_	<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address		

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 RICHARD DIAZ, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 RICHARD DIAZ ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 15 INTRODUCTION 16 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 17 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, 18 deceptive, and unfair practices. 19 20 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 23 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 24 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 25 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Juno Beach, Florida, 33408.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in March 2012, and continuing through May 2012, Defendant repeatedly and continuously contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone in an attempt to collect a consumer debt.

- 13. Defendant placed repeated calls to Plaintiff's home, causing Plaintiff to receive, on average, two (2) collection calls a day.
- 14. Plaintiff knew that Defendant was contacting him because it identified itself as "NCO Financial" when he answered its calls, and also, on his caller id, the name "NCO Financial" was displayed.
 - 15. Defendant identified the debtor to Plaintiff as a person named "Drumby."
- 16. On approximately five (5) or six (6) occasions, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant and informed it that his name was not "Drumby," he does not go by the name "Drumby," no one named "Drumby" lived with him, and he does not know anyone named "Drumby."
- 17. Plaintiff instructed Defendant to remove his home telephone number from its call list and to stop calling him.
- 18. Defendant's collectors claimed that Defendant would remove his phone number from its call list and stop calling him.
 - 19. For a couple days thereafter, Defendant's calls to Plaintiff stopped.
- 20. Thereafter, however, Defendant would resume calling Plaintiff's home telephone in its attempts to collect a debt of another person.
- 21. Additionally, in those instances where Plaintiff did not answer Defendant's telephone calls, Defendant would leave messages on his home answering machine asking "Drumby" to call them back.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant's collectors made false representations and misrepresentations to Plaintiff when it claimed it would remove his telephone number from its call list, when in fact it did not intend to remove his number, as it continued to call him.
 - 23. Moreover, after being advised that it was calling the wrong number, Defendant

3

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

24.

failed to update its records to avoid the further harassment of Plaintiff.

Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 24. 1692b(3).
 - a Section 1692b(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with any person other than a consumer more than once unless requested to do so by such person or unless the debt collector reasonably believes that the earlier response of such person is erroneous or incomplete and that such person now has correct or complete location information.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff more than once about another person's debt, despite having been notified that it was calling the wrong person and that Plaintiff did not want to receive its collection calls.

COUNT II

- Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. 25. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a

telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.

c. Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff multiple times a day and on numerous days a week about the debt it was attempting to collect, and when it failed to update its records after Plaintiff notified Defendant that he was not the person it was attempting to collect.

COUNT III

- 26. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f.
 - a. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it provided Plaintiff with a false means to notify it that it was contacting the wrong person and continued to contact him about the debt of another person, despite Plaintiff informing Defendant on numerous occasions that it was calling the wrong person.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, RICHARD DIAZ, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);

Case 2:12-cv-03429-CMR Document 1 Filed 06/18/12 Page 9 of 9 All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 1 c. costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and 2 3 Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. d. 4 5 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 6 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, RICHARD DIAZ, demands a jury trial in this 7 case. 8 9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 10 KIMMEL & AILYERMAN, P.C. DATED: 11 By: 12 CRAIG THOR KIMMEL PA Attorney Id. No. 57100 13 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 14 Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116 15 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25